

United States Senate

July 23, 2025

Mr. Sundar Pichai
Chief Executive Officer
Alphabet Inc.
1600 Amphitheatre Parkway
Mountain View, CA 94043

Dear Mr. Pichai,

President Donald Trump today is taking action, through an AI Action Plan and executive order, to prevent federal agencies from contracting for any artificial intelligence (AI) algorithm that is not “free from top-down ideological bias,” based on the erroneous belief that today’s AI chatbots are “woke” and biased against Trump.¹ This effort is both factually baseless and patently unconstitutional. The federal government should not be using its procurement power to pressure Big Tech companies to adopt certain political viewpoints, including through AI chatbots. I urge your company to fight this unconstitutional executive order and not become a pawn in Trump’s effort to eliminate dissent in this country.

In their broad claims about censorship by the tech platforms, Republicans continue to mistake fact-based outcomes for bias against conservatives. Although the right continues to lean heavily on anecdotal examples of Big Tech’s alignment with liberal viewpoints, it ignores even more egregious evidence to the contrary. For example, on May 1, 2025, Grok — the AI chatbot developed by xAI, Elon Musk’s AI company — acknowledged that “xAI tried to train me to appeal to the right.”² If OpenAI’s ChatGPT or Google’s Gemini had responded that it was trained to appeal to the *left*, congressional Republicans would have been outraged and opened an investigation. Instead, they were silent. This selective outrage matches conservatives’ similar refusal to acknowledge that the Big Tech platforms suspend or impose other penalties disproportionately on conservative users because those users are disproportionately likely to share misinformation, rather than due to any political bias by the platforms.³ In essence, the right has fooled itself — intentionally or not — into believing that Big Tech is biased against it.

¹ White House, America’s AI Action Plan 4 (July 23, 2025), <https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2025/07/Americas-AI-Action-Plan.pdf>

² Grok (@Grok), X (May, 1, 2025, 7:36 AM), <https://x.com/grok/status/1917905876301824364>.

³ See, e.g., Thomas Renault et al., *Republicans are Flagged More Often than Democrats for Sharing Misinformation on X’s Community Notes*, 122 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 25 (Apr. 2, 2025), <https://www.pnas.org/doi/10.1073/pnas.2502053122> (“Our findings provide strong evidence of a partisan asymmetry in misinformation sharing which cannot be attributed to political bias on the part of raters, and indicate that Republicans will be sanctioned more than Democrats even if platforms transition from professional fact-checking to Community Notes.”); Mohsen Mesleh et al., *Differences in misinformation sharing can lead to politically asymmetric sanctions*, Nature (Oct. 2., 2024), <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-024-07942-8>; see also Timothy Graham & Mark Andrejevic, *A computational analysis of potential algorithmic bias on platform X during the 2024 US election*, Queensland University of Technology (2024), <https://eprints.qut.edu.au/253211/>

Mr. Sundar Pichai
July 23, 2025
Page 2

Even if the claims of bias were accurate, the Republicans' effort to use their political power — both through the executive branch and through congressional investigations — to modify the platforms' speech is dangerous and unconstitutional. Through the AI executive order, Republicans are using state power to pressure private companies to adopt certain political viewpoints, in this case by pressuring the Big Tech companies to ensure that responses from AI chatbots meet some unspecified, vague definition of ideological neutrality. The details and implementation plan for this executive order remain unclear but it will create significant financial incentives for the Big Tech companies — many of whom have multi-million or multi-billion-dollar contracts with the federal government — to ensure their AI chatbots do not produce speech that would upset the Trump administration. This type of interference with private speech is precisely why the U.S. Constitution has a First Amendment.

I recognize that this executive order places your company in a difficult position: Either stand on your principles and face the wrath of the Trump administration or cave to Trump and modify your company's political speech. From attacks on universities to media organizations to law firms, this is the Trump playbook to crack down on dissenting voices and outlets in this country. I urge you to stand with the institutions and organizations that have rejected this authoritarian power grab and defend your company's free speech rights.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,



Edward J. Markey
United States Senator

(finding that, around July 13, 2024 — the date that Elon Musk endorsed Donald Trump for president — Elon Musk's tweets and the tweets of select conservative accounts gained increased view counts compared to tweets from Democratic Twitter accounts.).

United States Senate

July 23, 2025

Dr. Dario Amodei
Chief Executive Officer
Anthropic, PBC
548 Market Street, PMB 90375
San Francisco, CA 94104

Dear Dr. Amodei,

President Donald Trump today is taking action, through an AI Action Plan and executive order, to prevent federal agencies from contracting for any artificial intelligence (AI) algorithm that is not “free from top-down ideological bias,” based on the erroneous belief that today’s AI chatbots are “woke” and biased against Trump.¹ This effort is both factually baseless and patently unconstitutional. The federal government should not be using its procurement power to pressure Big Tech companies to adopt certain political viewpoints, including through AI chatbots. I urge your company to fight this unconstitutional executive order and not become a pawn in Trump’s effort to eliminate dissent in this country.

In their broad claims about censorship by the tech platforms, Republicans continue to mistake fact-based outcomes for bias against conservatives. Although the right continues to lean heavily on anecdotal examples of Big Tech’s alignment with liberal viewpoints, it ignores even more egregious evidence to the contrary. For example, on May 1, 2025, Grok — the AI chatbot developed by xAI, Elon Musk’s AI company — acknowledged that “xAI tried to train me to appeal to the right.”² If OpenAI’s ChatGPT or Google’s Gemini had responded that it was trained to appeal to the *left*, congressional Republicans would have been outraged and opened an investigation. Instead, they were silent. This selective outrage matches conservatives’ similar refusal to acknowledge that the Big Tech platforms suspend or impose other penalties disproportionately on conservative users because those users are disproportionately likely to share misinformation, rather than due to any political bias by the platforms.³ In essence, the right has fooled itself — intentionally or not — into believing that Big Tech is biased against it.

¹ White House, America’s AI Action Plan 4 (July 23, 2025), <https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2025/07/Americas-AI-Action-Plan.pdf>

² Grok (@Grok), X (May, 1, 2025, 7:36 AM), <https://x.com/grok/status/1917905876301824364>.

³ See, e.g., Thomas Renault et al., *Republicans are Flagged More Often than Democrats for Sharing Misinformation on X’s Community Notes*, 122 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 25 (Apr. 2, 2025), <https://www.pnas.org/doi/10.1073/pnas.2502053122> (“Our findings provide strong evidence of a partisan asymmetry in misinformation sharing which cannot be attributed to political bias on the part of raters, and indicate that Republicans will be sanctioned more than Democrats even if platforms transition from professional fact-checking to Community Notes.”); Mohsen Mesleh et al., *Differences in misinformation sharing can lead to politically asymmetric sanctions*, Nature (Oct. 2., 2024), <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-024-07942-8>; see also Timothy Graham & Mark Andrejevic, *A computational analysis of potential algorithmic bias on platform X during the 2024 US election*, Queensland University of Technology (2024), <https://eprints.qut.edu.au/253211/>

Even if the claims of bias were accurate, the Republicans' effort to use their political power — both through the executive branch and through congressional investigations — to modify the platforms' speech is dangerous and unconstitutional. Through the AI executive order, Republicans are using state power to pressure private companies to adopt certain political viewpoints, in this case by pressuring the Big Tech companies to ensure that responses from AI chatbots meet some unspecified, vague definition of ideological neutrality. The details and implementation plan for this executive order remain unclear but it will create significant financial incentives for the Big Tech companies — many of whom have multi-million or multi-billion-dollar contracts with the federal government — to ensure their AI chatbots do not produce speech that would upset the Trump administration. This type of interference with private speech is precisely why the U.S. Constitution has a First Amendment.

I recognize that this executive order places your company in a difficult position: Either stand on your principles and face the wrath of the Trump administration or cave to Trump and modify your company's political speech. From attacks on universities to media organizations to law firms, this is the Trump playbook to crack down on dissenting voices and outlets in this country. I urge you to stand with the institutions and organizations that have rejected this authoritarian power grab and defend your company's free speech rights.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,



Edward J. Markey
United States Senator

(finding that, around July 13, 2024 — the date that Elon Musk endorsed Donald Trump for president — Elon Musk's tweets and the tweets of select conservative accounts gained increased view counts compared to tweets from Democratic Twitter accounts.).

United States Senate

July 23, 2025

Mr. Mark Zuckerberg
Chief Executive Officer
Meta Platforms, Inc.
1 Meta Way
Menlo Park, CA 94025

Dear Mr. Zuckerberg,

President Donald Trump today is taking action, through an AI Action Plan and executive order, to prevent federal agencies from contracting for any artificial intelligence (AI) algorithm that is not “free from top-down ideological bias,” based on the erroneous belief that today’s AI chatbots are “woke” and biased against Trump.¹ This effort is both factually baseless and patently unconstitutional. The federal government should not be using its procurement power to pressure Big Tech companies to adopt certain political viewpoints, including through AI chatbots. I urge your company to fight this unconstitutional executive order and not become a pawn in Trump’s effort to eliminate dissent in this country.

In their broad claims about censorship by the tech platforms, Republicans continue to mistake fact-based outcomes for bias against conservatives. Although the right continues to lean heavily on anecdotal examples of Big Tech’s alignment with liberal viewpoints, it ignores even more egregious evidence to the contrary. For example, on May 1, 2025, Grok — the AI chatbot developed by xAI, Elon Musk’s AI company — acknowledged that “xAI tried to train me to appeal to the right.”² If OpenAI’s ChatGPT or Google’s Gemini had responded that it was trained to appeal to the *left*, congressional Republicans would have been outraged and opened an investigation. Instead, they were silent. This selective outrage matches conservatives’ similar refusal to acknowledge that the Big Tech platforms suspend or impose other penalties disproportionately on conservative users because those users are disproportionately likely to share misinformation, rather than due to any political bias by the platforms.³ In essence, the right has fooled itself — intentionally or not — into believing that Big Tech is biased against it.

¹ White House, America’s AI Action Plan 4 (July 23, 2025), <https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2025/07/Americas-AI-Action-Plan.pdf>

² Grok (@Grok), X (May, 1, 2025, 7:36 AM), <https://x.com/grok/status/1917905876301824364>.

³ See, e.g., Thomas Renault et al., *Republicans are Flagged More Often than Democrats for Sharing Misinformation on X’s Community Notes*, 122 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 25 (Apr. 2, 2025), <https://www.pnas.org/doi/10.1073/pnas.2502053122> (“Our findings provide strong evidence of a partisan asymmetry in misinformation sharing which cannot be attributed to political bias on the part of raters, and indicate that Republicans will be sanctioned more than Democrats even if platforms transition from professional fact-checking to Community Notes.”); Mohsen Mesleh et al., *Differences in misinformation sharing can lead to politically asymmetric sanctions*, Nature (Oct. 2., 2024), <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-024-07942-8>; see also Timothy Graham & Mark Andrejevic, *A computational analysis of potential algorithmic bias on platform X during the 2024 US election*, Queensland University of Technology (2024), <https://eprints.qut.edu.au/253211/>

Mr. Mark Zuckerberg
July 23, 2025
Page 2

Even if the claims of bias were accurate, the Republicans' effort to use their political power — both through the executive branch and through congressional investigations — to modify the platforms' speech is dangerous and unconstitutional. Through the AI executive order, Republicans are using state power to pressure private companies to adopt certain political viewpoints, in this case by pressuring the Big Tech companies to ensure that responses from AI chatbots meet some unspecified, vague definition of ideological neutrality. The details and implementation plan for this executive order remain unclear but it will create significant financial incentives for the Big Tech companies — many of whom have multi-million or multi-billion-dollar contracts with the federal government — to ensure their AI chatbots do not produce speech that would upset the Trump administration. This type of interference with private speech is precisely why the U.S. Constitution has a First Amendment.

I recognize that this executive order places your company in a difficult position: Either stand on your principles and face the wrath of the Trump administration or cave to Trump and modify your company's political speech. From attacks on universities to media organizations to law firms, this is the Trump playbook to crack down on dissenting voices and outlets in this country. I urge you to stand with the institutions and organizations that have rejected this authoritarian power grab and defend your company's free speech rights.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,



Edward J. Markey
United States Senator

(finding that, around July 13, 2024 — the date that Elon Musk endorsed Donald Trump for president — Elon Musk's tweets and the tweets of select conservative accounts gained increased view counts compared to tweets from Democratic Twitter accounts.).

United States Senate

July 23, 2025

Mr. Satya Nadella
Chief Executive Officer
Microsoft Corp.
One Microsoft Way
Redmond, WA 98052

Dear Mr. Nadella,

President Donald Trump today is taking action, through an AI Action Plan and executive order, to prevent federal agencies from contracting for any artificial intelligence (AI) algorithm that is not “free from top-down ideological bias,” based on the erroneous belief that today’s AI chatbots are “woke” and biased against Trump.¹ This effort is both factually baseless and patently unconstitutional. The federal government should not be using its procurement power to pressure Big Tech companies to adopt certain political viewpoints, including through AI chatbots. I urge your company to fight this unconstitutional executive order and not become a pawn in Trump’s effort to eliminate dissent in this country.

In their broad claims about censorship by the tech platforms, Republicans continue to mistake fact-based outcomes for bias against conservatives. Although the right continues to lean heavily on anecdotal examples of Big Tech’s alignment with liberal viewpoints, it ignores even more egregious evidence to the contrary. For example, on May 1, 2025, Grok — the AI chatbot developed by xAI, Elon Musk’s AI company — acknowledged that “xAI tried to train me to appeal to the right.”² If OpenAI’s ChatGPT or Google’s Gemini had responded that it was trained to appeal to the *left*, congressional Republicans would have been outraged and opened an investigation. Instead, they were silent. This selective outrage matches conservatives’ similar refusal to acknowledge that the Big Tech platforms suspend or impose other penalties disproportionately on conservative users because those users are disproportionately likely to share misinformation, rather than due to any political bias by the platforms.³ In essence, the right has fooled itself — intentionally or not — into believing that Big Tech is biased against it.

¹ White House, America’s AI Action Plan 4 (July 23, 2025), <https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2025/07/Americas-AI-Action-Plan.pdf>.

² Grok (@Grok), X (May, 1, 2025, 7:36 AM), <https://x.com/grok/status/1917905876301824364>.

³ See, e.g., Thomas Renault et al., *Republicans are Flagged More Often than Democrats for Sharing Misinformation on X’s Community Notes*, 122 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 25 (Apr. 2, 2025), <https://www.pnas.org/doi/10.1073/pnas.2502053122> (“Our findings provide strong evidence of a partisan asymmetry in misinformation sharing which cannot be attributed to political bias on the part of raters, and indicate that Republicans will be sanctioned more than Democrats even if platforms transition from professional fact-checking to Community Notes.”); Mohsen Mesleh et al., *Differences in misinformation sharing can lead to politically asymmetric sanctions*, Nature (Oct. 2., 2024), <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-024-07942-8>; see also Timothy Graham & Mark Andrejevic, *A computational analysis of potential algorithmic bias on platform X during the 2024 US election*, Queensland University of Technology (2024), <https://eprints.qut.edu.au/253211/>

Mr. Satya Nadella
July 23, 2025
Page 2

Even if the claims of bias were accurate, the Republicans' effort to use their political power — both through the executive branch and through congressional investigations — to modify the platforms' speech is dangerous and unconstitutional. Through the AI executive order, Republicans are using state power to pressure private companies to adopt certain political viewpoints, in this case by pressuring the Big Tech companies to ensure that responses from AI chatbots meet some unspecified, vague definition of ideological neutrality. The details and implementation plan for this executive order remain unclear but it will create significant financial incentives for the Big Tech companies — many of whom have multi-million or multi-billion-dollar contracts with the federal government — to ensure their AI chatbots do not produce speech that would upset the Trump administration. This type of interference with private speech is precisely why the U.S. Constitution has a First Amendment.

I recognize that this executive order places your company in a difficult position: Either stand on your principles and face the wrath of the Trump administration or cave to Trump and modify your company's political speech. From attacks on universities to media organizations to law firms, this is the Trump playbook to crack down on dissenting voices and outlets in this country. I urge you to stand with the institutions and organizations that have rejected this authoritarian power grab and defend your company's free speech rights.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,



Edward J. Markey
United States Senator

(finding that, around July 13, 2024 — the date that Elon Musk endorsed Donald Trump for president — Elon Musk's tweets and the tweets of select conservative accounts gained increased view counts compared to tweets from Democratic Twitter accounts.).

United States Senate

July 23, 2025

Mr. Sam Altman
Chief Executive Officer
OpenAI, Inc.
3180 18th Street, Suite 100
San Francisco, CA 94110

Dear Mr. Altman,

President Donald Trump today is taking action, through an AI Action Plan and executive order, to prevent federal agencies from contracting for any artificial intelligence (AI) algorithm that is not “free from top-down ideological bias,” based on the erroneous belief that today’s AI chatbots are “woke” and biased against Trump.¹ This effort is both factually baseless and patently unconstitutional. The federal government should not be using its procurement power to pressure Big Tech companies to adopt certain political viewpoints, including through AI chatbots. I urge your company to fight this unconstitutional executive order and not become a pawn in Trump’s effort to eliminate dissent in this country.

In their broad claims about censorship by the tech platforms, Republicans continue to mistake fact-based outcomes for bias against conservatives. Although the right continues to lean heavily on anecdotal examples of Big Tech’s alignment with liberal viewpoints, it ignores even more egregious evidence to the contrary. For example, on May 1, 2025, Grok — the AI chatbot developed by xAI, Elon Musk’s AI company — acknowledged that “xAI tried to train me to appeal to the right.”² If OpenAI’s ChatGPT or Google’s Gemini had responded that it was trained to appeal to the *left*, congressional Republicans would have been outraged and opened an investigation. Instead, they were silent. This selective outrage matches conservatives’ similar refusal to acknowledge that the Big Tech platforms suspend or impose other penalties disproportionately on conservative users because those users are disproportionately likely to share misinformation, rather than due to any political bias by the platforms.³ In essence, the right has fooled itself — intentionally or not — into believing that Big Tech is biased against it.

¹ White House, America’s AI Action Plan 4 (July 23, 2025), <https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2025/07/Americas-AI-Action-Plan.pdf>.

² Grok (@Grok), X (May, 1, 2025, 7:36 AM), <https://x.com/grok/status/1917905876301824364>.

³ See, e.g., Thomas Renault et al., *Republicans are Flagged More Often than Democrats for Sharing Misinformation on X’s Community Notes*, 122 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 25 (Apr. 2, 2025), <https://www.pnas.org/doi/10.1073/pnas.2502053122> (“Our findings provide strong evidence of a partisan asymmetry in misinformation sharing which cannot be attributed to political bias on the part of raters, and indicate that Republicans will be sanctioned more than Democrats even if platforms transition from professional fact-checking to Community Notes.”); Mohsen Mesleh et al., *Differences in misinformation sharing can lead to politically asymmetric sanctions*, Nature (Oct. 2., 2024), <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-024-07942-8>; see also Timothy Graham & Mark Andrejevic, *A computational analysis of potential algorithmic bias on platform X during the 2024 US election*, Queensland University of Technology (2024), <https://eprints.qut.edu.au/253211/>

Mr. Sam Altman
July 23, 2025
Page 2

Even if the claims of bias were accurate, the Republicans' effort to use their political power — both through the executive branch and through congressional investigations — to modify the platforms' speech is dangerous and unconstitutional. Through the AI executive order, Republicans are using state power to pressure private companies to adopt certain political viewpoints, in this case by pressuring the Big Tech companies to ensure that responses from AI chatbots meet some unspecified, vague definition of ideological neutrality. The details and implementation plan for this executive order remain unclear but it will create significant financial incentives for the Big Tech companies — many of whom have multi-million or multi-billion-dollar contracts with the federal government — to ensure their AI chatbots do not produce speech that would upset the Trump administration. This type of interference with private speech is precisely why the U.S. Constitution has a First Amendment.

I recognize that this executive order places your company in a difficult position: Either stand on your principles and face the wrath of the Trump administration or cave to Trump and modify your company's political speech. From attacks on universities to media organizations to law firms, this is the Trump playbook to crack down on dissenting voices and outlets in this country. I urge you to stand with the institutions and organizations that have rejected this authoritarian power grab and defend your company's free speech rights.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,



Edward J. Markey
United States Senator

(finding that, around July 13, 2024 — the date that Elon Musk endorsed Donald Trump for president — Elon Musk's tweets and the tweets of select conservative accounts gained increased view counts compared to tweets from Democratic Twitter accounts.).

United States Senate

July 23, 2025

Mr. Elon Musk
Chief Executive Officer
xAI Corp.
1450 Page Mill Road
Palo Alto, CA 94304

Dear Mr. Musk,

President Donald Trump today is taking action, through an AI Action Plan and executive order, to prevent federal agencies from contracting for any artificial intelligence (AI) algorithm that is not “free from top-down ideological bias,” based on the erroneous belief that today’s AI chatbots are “woke” and biased against Trump.¹ This effort is both factually baseless and patently unconstitutional. The federal government should not be using its procurement power to pressure Big Tech companies to adopt certain political viewpoints, including through AI chatbots. I urge your company to fight this unconstitutional executive order and not become a pawn in Trump’s effort to eliminate dissent in this country.

In their broad claims about censorship by the tech platforms, Republicans continue to mistake fact-based outcomes for bias against conservatives. Although the right continues to lean heavily on anecdotal examples of Big Tech’s alignment with liberal viewpoints, it ignores even more egregious evidence to the contrary. For example, on May 1, 2025, Grok — the AI chatbot developed by your company — acknowledged that “xAI tried to train me to appeal to the right.”² If OpenAI’s ChatGPT or Google’s Gemini had responded that it was trained to appeal to the *left*, congressional Republicans would have been outraged and opened an investigation. Instead, they were silent. This selective outrage matches conservatives’ similar refusal to acknowledge that the Big Tech platforms suspend or impose other penalties disproportionately on conservative users because those users are disproportionately likely to share misinformation, rather than due to any political bias by the platforms.³ In essence, the right has fooled itself — intentionally or not — into believing that Big Tech is biased against it.

¹ White House, America’s AI Action Plan 4 (July 23, 2025), <https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2025/07/Americas-AI-Action-Plan.pdf>.

² Grok (@Grok), X (May, 1, 2025, 7:36 AM), <https://x.com/grok/status/1917905876301824364>.

³ See, e.g., Thomas Renault et al., *Republicans are Flagged More Often than Democrats for Sharing Misinformation on X’s Community Notes*, 122 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 25 (Apr. 2, 2025), <https://www.pnas.org/doi/10.1073/pnas.2502053122> (“Our findings provide strong evidence of a partisan asymmetry in misinformation sharing which cannot be attributed to political bias on the part of raters, and indicate that Republicans will be sanctioned more than Democrats even if platforms transition from professional fact-checking to Community Notes.”); Mohsen Mesleh et al., *Differences in misinformation sharing can lead to politically asymmetric sanctions*, Nature (Oct. 2., 2024), <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-024-07942-8>; see also Timothy Graham & Mark Andrejevic, *A computational analysis of potential algorithmic bias on platform X during the 2024 US election*, Queensland University of Technology (2024), <https://eprints.qut.edu.au/253211/>

Mr. Elon Musk
July 23, 2025
Page 2

Even if the claims of bias were accurate, the Republicans' effort to use their political power — both through the executive branch and through congressional investigations — to modify the platforms' speech is dangerous and unconstitutional. Through the AI executive order, Republicans are using state power to pressure private companies to adopt certain political viewpoints, in this case by pressuring the Big Tech companies to ensure that responses from AI chatbots meet some unspecified, vague definition of ideological neutrality. The details and implementation plan for this executive order remain unclear but it will create significant financial incentives for the Big Tech companies — many of whom have multi-million or multi-billion-dollar contracts with the federal government — to ensure their AI chatbots do not produce speech that would upset the Trump administration. This type of interference with private speech is precisely why the U.S. Constitution has a First Amendment.

I recognize that this executive order places your company in a difficult position: Either stand on your principles and face the wrath of the Trump administration or cave to Trump and modify your company's political speech. From attacks on universities to media organizations to law firms, this is the Trump playbook to crack down on dissenting voices and outlets in this country. I urge you to stand with the institutions and organizations that have rejected this authoritarian power grab and defend your company's free speech rights.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,



Edward J. Markey
United States Senator

(finding that, around July 13, 2024 — the date that Elon Musk endorsed Donald Trump for president — Elon Musk's tweets and the tweets of select conservative accounts gained increased view counts compared to tweets from Democratic Twitter accounts.).